

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,921	11/01/2001	Adeyinka Adedeji	08CN06024-2	3493	
23413 7	590 04/02/2004		EXAMINER		
	OLBURN, LLP		MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
55 GRIFFIN R BLOOMFIELI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/682,	921	ADEDEJI ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey C		1711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Property	ppears on ti	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no early within the st d will apply and the cause the ar	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ly. xxmmunication.			
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 121	March 2004	1 .					
1		is action is						
3)[7							
-	closed in accordance with the practice under				ono io			
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-26 is/are pending in the	annlication	1					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	A 4411 11 O111 O	onsideration.					
	Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-26 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election	requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc) objected to by the	vaminor				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				-D 4 4044 B			
11) 🗍 :	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer N	ote the attached Office	Action or form DT	-R 1.121(d).			
		Administra N		ACTION OF IONN PT	U-15Z.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the cert	ified copies not received	l .				
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (F					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e				
3) LI Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	•	5) Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO	-152)			
S. Patent and Tra TOL-326 (Re		ction Summa		of Paper No./Mail Da	te 20040304			

Application/Control Number: 09/682,921

Art Unit: 1711

The finality of the FINAL Office action is hereby withdrawn as are all previous rejections.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 and 16-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,495,630. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compositional ranges of the sets of claims overlap.

Claims 1-14 16-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,545,080. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compositional ranges of the sets of claims overlap.

The previous rejections were withdrawn based on applicants' amendment and remarks.

Art Unit: 1711

This Office action is being made non-FINAL.

JCM

3-26-04

delirey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711